

Marking rubric for Nursing Essay after co-construction NURS11000

Introduction 5%	High distinction	The introduction is exceptional. Displays logical and comprehensive understanding of what will be discussed. All major concepts and issues relevant to your paper are clear and concise and expressed *cogently to guide the reader. Excellent *literary appeal.
	Distinction +	Very good overview with all areas clearly, concisely and accurately presented while demonstrating very good articulation and *literary appeal.
	Distinction	Very good overview in the introduction with all areas clearly, logically, concisely and accurately presented. *Literary appeal is very good.
	Credit +	Good overview of with most areas clearly, logically, concisely and accurately presented. *Literary appeal is good.
	Credit	Good overview of the paper with most areas clearly, logically, and accurately presented. *Literary appeal is developing well.
	Pass	Introduction is clear and covers most of the central ideas, which are clearly defined. *Literary appeal developing.
	Fail	NO introduction has been included in this paper, OR the introduction is vague and does not relate to the content of your paper.
Assessment 15 % Description of comprehensive assessment of a single selected area	High distinction	A *cogent, well-focused and comprehensive holistic patient assessment is presented. Excellent and thorough discussion of each component of the assessment with accompanying rationale is present. Critical consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is evident. Expert use of relevant up-to-date academic and peer reviewed literature. Excellent *literary appeal.
	Distinction +	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to assessment. The assessment is comprehensive and holistic and is accompanied by very good rationale. Demonstrates a thorough Integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature. Referencing is of a very high standard, is accurate and

(15 Marks)		demonstrates an extensive examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is very good.
	Distinction	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the topic, and displays a logical approach to assessment. The assessment is comprehensive and holistic and is accompanied by good rationale. Demonstrates appreciable integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature. Referencing is of a high standard, is accurate and demonstrates a thorough examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is good.
	Credit +	Demonstrated a very good level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to assessment. Holistic patient assessment is evident. The assessment is accompanied with substantial relevant rationale. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing well. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is accurate with only minor formatting errors. *Literary appeal is developing well.
	Credit	Demonstrated a good level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to assessment. Shows some development of holism and some relevant rationale presented. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is generally accurate with no major errors. *Literary appeal is developing.
	Pass	The assessment is showing development with reasonable understanding of the topic. Requires a more comprehensive and logical approach. Little evidence of holism. Limited consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016). Little rationale provided. Supported by an adequate number of relevant sources. Some *literary appeal however improvement still required.
	Fail	Fails to provide adequate information or little or no evidence of a logical approach to assessment. No reference made to the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) No, or very poor use of academic literature to support discussion. Poor *literary appeal. Poor referencing according to APA.
Care Plan 45%	High distinction	A *cogent, well-focused and comprehensive presentation of a holistic patient care plan. Excellent and thorough discussion of rationale for care and identification of relevant range of care priorities is presented. The plan should display critical consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016)
Description of		

<p>a basic nursing care plan: Identify 5 priority problems Plan and implement care for problems Establish criteria for care evaluation. (45 Marks)</p>		Expert use of relevant up-to-date academic and peer reviewed literature. Excellent *literary appeal.
	Distinction +	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to care and has mastered the ability to establish care priorities. Presents a comprehensive plan of holistic care and provides very good rationale for care across all presented care priorities. Demonstrates a thorough Integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature. Referencing is of a very high standard is accurate and demonstrates an extensive examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is very good.
	Distinction	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to care and has mastered the ability to establish care priorities. Presents a comprehensive plan of holistic care and provides good rationale for care. Demonstrates appreciable Integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature. Referencing is of a high standard is accurate and demonstrates a thorough examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is very good.
	Credit +	Demonstrated a very good level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to care and establishes care priorities. Holistic patient care is evident and is accompanied by substantial relevant rationale. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is accurate with only minor formatting errors. *Literary appeal is developing well.
	Credit	Demonstrated a good level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to care and establishes care priorities. Shows some development of holistic patient care and provides some relevant rationale. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is generally accurate with no major errors. *Literary appeal is developing.
Pass	The care plan is showing development with reasonable understanding of the topic. Requires a more comprehensive and logical approach. Little evidence of holism. Limited consideration of the NMBA	

		Registered nurse standards of practice (2016). Little rationale provided. Supported by an adequate number of relevant sources. Some *literary appeal however improvement still required.
	Fail	Fails to provide adequate information, rationale and identify care priorities. Little or no evidence of a logical approach to care. No reference made to the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) No, or very poor use of academic literature to support discussion. Poor referencing according to APA. Poor *literary appeal
Complication 30% Describe assessment and nursing intervention for a single complication (30 Marks)	High distinction	A *cogent, well-focused and comprehensive assessment of the complication is presented. Excellent and thorough discussion of each component of the assessment and intervention with accompanying rationale is present. Critical consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is evident. Expert use of relevant up-to-date academic and peer reviewed literature. Excellent *literary appeal.
	Distinction +	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the selected complication. Displays a logical approach to assessment and care. Both the assessment and intervention is comprehensive and is accompanied by very good rationale. Demonstrates a thorough Integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature. Referencing is of a very high standard is accurate and demonstrates an extensive examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is very good.
	Distinction	Demonstrated a high level of understanding of the selected complication, and displays a logical approach to assessment and care. Both the assessment and intervention are comprehensive and holistic and is accompanied by good rationale. Demonstrates appreciable integration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) into the discussion. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature Referencing is of a high standard is accurate and demonstrates a thorough examination of the literature. *Literary appeal is good.
	Credit +	Demonstrated a very good level of understanding of the topic. Displays a logical approach to care and establishes care priorities. Holistic patient care is evident and is accompanied by substantial relevant rationale. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is accurate with only minor formatting errors. *Literary appeal is developing well.

	Credit	Demonstrated a very good level of understanding of the selected complication. Displays a logical approach to assessment and care. The assessment is accompanied with substantial relevant rationale. Consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016) is developing well. Effective use of up-to-date, relevant literature to support a reasonable discussion and argument. Referencing is accurate with only minor formatting errors. *Literary appeal is developing well.
	Pass	The assessment of the complication is showing development with reasonable understanding of the intervention required. Requires a more comprehensive and logical approach. Limited consideration of the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016). Little rationale provided. Supported by an adequate number of relevant sources. Some *literary appeal however improvement still required.
	Fail	Fails to provide adequate information. Little or no evidence of a logical approach to assessment of the complication or the required intervention. No reference made to the NMBA Registered nurse standards of practice (2016). No, or very poor use of academic literature to support discussion. Poor *literary appeal. Poor referencing according to APA. Plagiarism penalties will apply according to Academic Integrity Policy.
Conclusion 5%	High distinction	The conclusion is well designed and written in an outstanding manner. Ideas and issues are summed up in a succinct and meaningful way. Excellent *literary style. No new ideas presented.
	Distinction +	Excellent, clear and concise conclusion that draws together in a meaningful way the significant points and arguments raised. Very good articulation and *literary appeal. No new ideas presented.
	Distinction	Very good clear and concise conclusion that draws together in a meaningful way the significant points and arguments raised in the essay. No new ideas presented.
	Credit +	Good conclusion that is beginning to appropriately support and draws together the significant points and arguments raised. *Literary appeal is developing well. No new ideas presented.
	Credit	Good conclusion that is beginning to appropriately support and draws together the significant points and arguments raised. *Literary appeal developing. No new ideas presented.
	Pass	Relevant conclusion with reasonable summing up of ideas. Some new information/ ideas presented.
	Fail	NO conclusion has been included in this paper OR is vague and does not relate to the content of your paper.

* *literary appeal – Good grammar, spelling, ease of reading and reads well.

* *cogent – clear, logical and well formulated